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**Message from the President of ESVS**

Evidence-based medicine is an essential component of modern practice. As vascular surgeons we can be quite proud of our attempts to base our decisions on large, well-organised, randomised trials, but an important second pillar to our practice is a good knowledge of results from unit and national registries.

A third pillar is a European-wide registry, which has the potential of an enormous database. As we know, results from registries do not always reflect the results of randomised trials, which tend to be performed on selected patients in selected centres. A knowledge of an overall expected outcome in our patients is an essential component of our discussions with healthcare systems throughout Europe. The VASCUNET registry was set up to fulfil this purpose. The enthusiastic instigators of this registry are to be congratulated on its development and deserve our increasing support. By submitting accurate data from all the national registries we can all learn a great deal about our practices.

Many of you are already involved and I would encourage others to expand our database. The VASCUNET Committee work in close collaboration with the European Society for Vascular Surgery and are keen to assist you.

We should be proud of this development.

---

*Mr John Wolfe  
President, European Society of Vascular Surgeons*
Introduction from the first VASCUNET report

Audit is essential in surgery, where outcomes are dependent on the careful selection of patients and the skills of the surgical and anaesthetic teams. It is important for surgeons and interventionists to know their results and how these compare with others in their field. Those whose outcomes fall short must find and correct the cause whilst those who excel may be able to show others how to improve. It is also important to examine and compare the outcomes of patients in different healthcare systems and in different countries so that we can investigate disparity and learn from each other to help improve outcomes for our patients. Do our patients differ in their characteristics and risk factors? Are there national differences in the way we treat patients and, if so, do these affect outcome?

VASCUNET was originally formed by a group of enthusiasts as a working group within The European Society for Vascular Surgery to exchange ideas and promote discussion between those responsible for the National Registries and other interested individuals in Europe. The first meeting was held in Lisbon in 1997 and since then annual symposia have been held, dealing with such topics as validation, case-mix, quality assurance, computer technology (in particular on-line registration and automated analyses) and legal issues. However, it has always been a common aim that the various databases should somehow be combined to form a common dataset so that international comparisons can be achieved. Whereas, this was essentially a European initiative, interest and enthusiasm from New Zealand and Australia has led to the widening of contributing registries.

The First VASCUNET Report on Abdominal Aortic Aneurysm Surgery results from a pilot project to merge data from existing vascular registries. It is the largest vascular database of its kind, merging data from 33,780 aneurysm repairs from five national registries (The Danish Vascular Registry 1, Swedvasc 2, Swissvasc 3, The UK National Vascular Database 4, The New Zealand Vascular Database 5) and one large regional registry (The State of Victoria, Australia 6). The choice of aortic aneurysm repair for this project was pragmatic: it is one of the most common vascular operations, with a single major outcome (survival) and is recorded by all participating registries. The registries have been set up independently and have collected data for different time periods ranging from one to 12 years. The data fields within the individual national databases differ but have many common features that have allowed data merging. Some rely on voluntary contribution (e.g. United Kingdom), capturing only half of all the cases performed nationwide whereas those from Denmark and Sweden have much greater levels of participation of over 90%. Validation of the data has been variable (see below) and the definitions of individual data fields have also differed from country to country (see below). For these reasons care must be taken in drawing definitive conclusions from the data at present.

We have shown that it is possible to merge vascular audit data from several countries, collected and stored in different languages and different database systems with different suites of variables. We have deliberately avoided the comparison of national mortality in this first report because of the differences between the databases and questions regarding data validation but it is hoped that such analyses may be possible in the future and will stimulate analysis and discussion, which may lead to future improvements in care.

Such international audit projects are new to vascular surgery but not new to medicine as a whole. A shining example has been the Dialysis Outcomes and Practice Patterns Study, which has compared data on patients with end-stage renal failure in randomly selected units throughout the world for over ten years and has undoubtedly stimulated improvement initiatives within nephrology and vascular access services in several countries 7 (www.dopps.org).

A project in cardiac surgery similar to our initiative has already been undertaken by The European Association of Cardio-Thoracic Surgeons: Their first report was published in 2003 and the project has now grown to include data from 23 national registries from smaller beginnings 8. We hope that a similar growth in national or regional vascular registries contributing to the VASCUNET database will occur in future years. It is also anticipated that its scope will be widened in future years to include other common vascular procedures such as carotid endarterectomy and stenting and infrainguinal bypass.

We are grateful to Dendrite Ltd for their excellent advice, data merging and analyses and to the Council of the ESVS which has supported and financed the project.

Chris Gibbons
on behalf of the VASCUNET Steering Committee
Introduction to the second VASCUNET report

Audit is the key to improvement. It is essential to compare one’s own performance against that of others in the field in order to expose discrepancies and correct deficiencies. Whereas randomised controlled trials give answers to specific questions, large registries can provide a wealth of information on actual practice. The larger the database and the greater the representation, the more accurate is the data and the more generalisable the conclusions.

In this second VASCUNET database report we have recruited data from four more national databases to give a total of ten contributing countries in Europe and Australasia. Data from over 84,000 vascular patients have been analysed making this the largest database of its kind. We have expanded the scope to include not only aortic aneurysm repair but also carotid reconstruction, and for the first time have included comparisons of national outcome data. In order to improve the validity of comparisons and ensure contemporary data, we have restricted the analysis to the last five years.

Whilst, as before, there are discrepancies in the data definitions and the degree of validation between the various national registries, some general conclusions can be legitimately drawn from the data. Some of the analyses have provided further support to the conclusions of certain randomised trials and revealed some surprising national differences in outcome that deserve further investigation.

It is hoped that these results will provide a stimulus for further geographical expansion of the VASCUNET registry and it is anticipated that the scope of the audit will increase further in subsequent years.

Chris Gibbons
on behalf of the VASCUNET Steering Committee

1. Annual reports from the Danish Vascular Registry (Karbase) 2005; see: www.karbase.dk
2. Annual reports from the Swedvasc, 2002-2008; see: http://www.ucr.uu.se/swedvasc/
3. Annual report of the Swissvasc Registry 2005; can be ordered via e-mail: pius.wigger@ksw.ch
5. The New Zealand Vascular Database; see: www.otago.ac.nz/ouaudit/
7. Dialysis Outcomes and Practice Patterns Study; see: www.dopps.org
The second VASCUNET report
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Prelude
Basic principles of abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA) repair

An aneurysm is a permanent localized arterial dilatation with a 50 percent or greater increase over the normal diameter of the affected artery. An abdominal aortic aneurysm is a swelling of the main artery in the abdomen of over 3 cm in diameter. The main risk factors associated for abdominal aneurysms are:

- hypertension (high blood pressure)
- smoking
- elevated cholesterol
- predisposing genetic factors

AAAs are often found during routine medical tests or via screening programs. Most patients have no symptoms prior to rupture.

An aneurysm should be treated before the risk of rupture rises significantly. To date there has been no known effective medical treatment. Principally there are two treatment options:

- Open operation
- Endovascular aneurysm repair (EVAR)

The open operation

The traditional way for the last 50 years was the open repair by a direct surgical approach to the artery through an abdominal incision. The normal artery above and below the aneurysm is exposed to permit clamping of the vessel. After clamping the aneurysm is opened by a longitudinal incision. A prosthetic graft made of Dacron or polytetrafluorethylene (PTFE) is sewn to the rim of the normal artery above and below so that the graft lies within the aneurysm sac. After completion the clamps are removed allowing the blood to flow through the graft. The wall of the aneurysm then is closed over the graft for protection.

Figure 2A

Figure 2B

Figures 2A and 2B show an abdominal aortic aneurysm before and after surgical repair, using a Dacron graft. The aneurysm arises below the arteries and veins that supply the kidneys and above the iliac (pelvic) arteries. In this case, a trouser graft has been used to replace the aortic aneurysm because there was also an aneurysm affecting the left iliac artery.
Endovascular aneurysm repair (EVAR)

During the past 15 years, a keyhole version of aneurysm repair for the aorta has been developed. In this procedure, the inside of the artery is re-lined with a graft (Dacron or PTFE). The graft is supported and held in place by a springy metal alloy framework (stent) sewn to it (stent graft). The device is delivered in two parts each of which are squashed down into a slim delivery tube 6-8 mm in diameter. The parts are brought into position via the pelvic arteries from a small incision in each groin and assembled within the aneurysm to form a trouser graft. The correct position is checked by X-ray before unfolding the stent-graft. Once delivered, the aneurysm remains in place, but the blood passes through the stent graft within the aneurysm. Thus the pressure is removed from the aneurysm wall that prevents rupture and eventually leads to shrinkage of the aneurysm.

Figure 4.

These diagrams show an endovascular repair (EVAR) of an aneurysm. In figure A the first part of the stent graft is already delivered and the second part is put in place but not yet unfolded (A). Figure B shows a CT-scan with a stent graft in place.
Basic principles

The basic principles of carotid artery reconstruction

The brain is supplied blood via two carotid arteries and two vertebral arteries which, in most people, have intercommunications between them at the base of the brain, forming the so-called Circle of Willis. The carotid arteries in particular can become narrowed (stenosed) by atherosclerosis, particularly in smokers and diabetics and also in those with a high blood pressure or a raised blood cholesterol level. This disease usually occurs where the common carotid artery divides into its two main branches: the internal carotid artery, which supplies much of the brain and especially the cerebral cortex, and the external carotid artery, which supplies blood to the rest of the head and upper part of the neck (fig 1).

When an internal carotid artery becomes very narrow (usually greater than 70% stenosed so that less than 30% of the diameter remains) clots can form and become dislodged (embolized) into the brain, blocking part of the blood supply to the cerebral cortex. This causes a transient (transient ischaemic attacks or TIA) or permanent stroke (cerebrovascular accident or CVA) with a temporary or permanent numbness, weakness or paralysis of part or all of the opposite side of the body. Speech or understanding of words can also be affected, usually following emboli from the left carotid artery. Similar symptoms can occur when a carotid artery becomes completely occluded by the disease. Small clots can also embolize to the eye causing a transient or permanent interruption of the retinal blood supply resulting in a short lasting (amaurosis fugax) or permanent (central retinal artery thrombosis) blindness in one eye (on the same side as the responsible carotid).

The principles of treatment are to reduce the progression of the underlying arterial disease by smoking cessation, blood pressure control, cholesterol lowering drugs such as statins and control of diabetes and to correct the carotid stenosis by surgical means.

Indications for surgery

Carotid endarterectomy (CEA) is one of the surgical methods most extensively evaluated in large randomized controlled trials. The earlier the intervention, the greater is the benefit in terms of stroke prevention. For this reason efforts are being made to reduce delays in the referral and treatment of symptomatic carotid disease in many countries.

Indications for intervention are

- A stenosis of >70% with relevant symptoms: TIA, CVA with a good recovery, amaurosis fugax or central retinal artery thrombosis.
- A stenosis of >60% in asymptomatic patients below the age of 75 years.
In some patients with recurrent symptoms and lesser degrees of stenosis (>50%) surgery may also be indicated particularly when associated with ulcerated or soft atherosclerotic plaque. The morphologic diagnosis and degree of stenosis is usually obtained by duplex ultrasound, but angiography (conventional, magnetic resonance or computer tomography) may also be required in some cases (fig 2).

**The procedure**

Today, open surgery by carotid endarterectomy is the treatment of choice and so far seems to be better than endovascular stent treatment (carotid artery stent, CAS) although further evaluation is ongoing. The principle of carotid endarterectomy (CEA) is to remove the atherosclerotic stenosis to widen the artery. This can be performed in a standard way with a longitudinal incision in the artery (fig 3) or by an eversion technique (fig 4).

One important step in the surgical procedure is to be sure that adequate cerebral circulation is maintained whilst the carotid artery is clamped. This may be accomplished by temporarily inserting a plastic tube (a shunt) into each end of the artery whilst the diseased area is exposed. Because of the anastomosis at the base of the brain most patients can tolerate clamping of one carotid artery without ill effects. However, the problem is to select those patients in need of a shunt (that is to estimate the collateral potential). The most common ways of doing this are:

- Operation under local anaesthesia, *i.e.*, with the patient wide awake.
- Measuring the stump pressure, that is the back pressure (*via* the circle of Willis) in the internal carotid artery after the common carotid artery has been clamped.
- Using trans-cranial Doppler to measurement the blood flow in the middle cerebral artery.

Most patients are already taking acetylsalicylic acid (*aspirin*) and/or dipyridamole (*persantin*) when they come to treatment, and many surgeons also add another platelet inhibitor peri-operatively, *e.g.*, clopidogrel or dextran, to reduce the risk of clotting in the artery. Heparin is also commonly injected to reduce clotting whilst the carotid artery is clamped.
The longitudinal carotid artery incision is often closed with a patch (vein, PTFE or Dacron can be used) to widen the artery, especially when the internal carotid artery is small, as is often the case in women, or when there have been technical problems.

Carotid artery stenting (CAS) is performed under X-ray control using local anaesthetic. A metal stent (a tube made from a special wire mesh) is inserted inside the carotid artery via a catheter usually passed up from an artery in the groin. When it is in the correct position across the stenosis the stent is deployed to force open the artery and maintain its lumen (fig 5). CAS is currently being evaluated in large randomized studies and should only occasionally be used outside these for cases where open surgery would be problematic, e.g., after radiotherapy to the neck or restenosis after previous carotid endarterectomy. Usually CAS is performed using one of several cerebral protection devices to prevent embolization to the brain whilst manipulating the device inside the artery.

In addition to a very small risk of post-operative death (most often due to myocardial infarction or stroke), there are local and central complications. The local ones are neck haematoma and cranial nerve damage, which is often reversible (the hypoglossal nerve, the superior laryngeal nerve, the accessory nerve, the mandibular branch of the facial nerve and very rarely the glossopharyngeal or aberrant recurrent laryngeal nerves). Cranial nerve damage may result in (usually temporary) weakness of the tongue, hoarseness, weakness of the angle of the mouth or shoulder weakness.

Central complications in the form of stroke are multi-factorial: ischaemia during clamping, embolism, thrombotic occlusion and bleeding because of hyperperfusion. A usual outcome criterion used in trials is the combined permanent stroke and / or death rate within 30 days of the procedure. It is important to realize that carotid reconstruction is a preventive or prophylactic procedure to avoid stroke in the future and the value for any individual patient is not known – just the statistical risk in a group of patients. The complication rate must therefore be kept very low.
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Contributors

The VASCUNET committee would like to extend their thanks to all the countries and hospitals listed below for their efforts in collecting data and also for submitting their data to the VASCUNET database.

The key contacts representing their country / state were:

- Barry Beiles  
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  domenico.palombo@hsanmartino.it
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  New Zealand  
  iane@xtra.co.nz
- Erik Halbakken  
  Norway  
  erik.halbakken@siv.no
- Thomas Troëng  
  Sweden  
  thomastroeng@telia.com
- Pius Wigger  
  Switzerland  
  pius.wigger@ksw.ch
- Tim Lees  
  United Kingdom  
  tim.lees@nuth.nhs.uk
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Table to show the number of cases submitted by each contributor country and the date-range that these cases span.

**AAA surgery**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Number of hospitals</th>
<th>Number of cases</th>
<th>Date range for data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Submitted</td>
<td>Analysed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Submitted</td>
<td>Analysed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1,156</td>
<td>1,156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>All</strong></td>
<td><strong>386</strong></td>
<td><strong>55,250</strong></td>
<td><strong>36,041</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Carotid surgery**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Number of hospitals</th>
<th>Number of cases</th>
<th>Date range for data</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Submitted</td>
<td>Analysed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Submitted</td>
<td>Analysed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1,570</td>
<td>1,570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>All</strong></td>
<td><strong>383</strong></td>
<td><strong>48,025</strong></td>
<td><strong>48,025</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Contributors to the VASCUNET database

**Australia (Victoria State only)**
- Alfred Hospital, Melbourne
- Austin Hospital, Melbourne
- Avenue Hospital, Melbourne
- Ballarat Hospital, Ballarat
- Bays Hospital, Mornington
- Beleura Hospital, Mornington
- Box Hill Hospital, Melbourne
- Cabrini Hospital, Melbourne
- Cotham Hospital, Melbourne
- Dandenong Hospital, Melbourne
- Epworth Hospital, Melbourne
- Epworth Eastern Hospital, Melbourne
- Frankston Hospital, Frankston
- Geelong Private Hospital, Geelong
- Geelong Public Hospital, Geelong
- Jessie McPherson Hospital, Melbourne
- John Fawkner Hospital, Melbourne
- Knox Private Hospital, Melbourne
- Linacre Hospital, Melbourne
- Masada Hospital, Melbourne
- Monash Hospital, Melbourne
- Northern Hospital, Melbourne
- Peninsula Hospital, Mornington
- Ringwood Private Hospital, Melbourne
- Rosebud Hospital, Rosebud
- Royal Melbourne Hospital, Melbourne
- St. John of God Hospital, Ballarat
- St. John of God Hospital, Geelong
- St. Vincent's Private Hospital, Melbourne
- St. Vincent's Public Hospital, Melbourne
- Valley Hospital, Melbourne
- Warringal Private Hospital, Melbourne
- Western Public Hospital, Melbourne
- Western Private Hospital, Melbourne
- Williamstown Hospital, Melbourne

**Denmark**
- Aalborg Sygehus, Aalborg
- Skejby Sygehus, Aarhus
- Gentofte Hospital, Copenhagen
- Rigshospitalet, Copenhagen
- Hillerød Sygehus, Hillerød
- Kolding Sygehus, Kolding
- Odense Universitetshospital, Odense
- Slagelse Sygehus, Slagelse
- Viborg Sygehus, Viborg

**Finland**
- Meilahti Hospital, Helsinki
- Jorvi Hospital
Contributors to the VASCUNET database continued …

**Hungary**
- Állami Egészségügyi Központ, Budapest
- Bajcsy Zsílszky Kórház, Budapest
- Bács-Kiskun Megyei Önkormányzat Kórháza, Kecskemét
- Borsod-Abaúj-Zemplén Megyei Kórház
- Debreceni Tudományegyetem Orvos- és Egészség Tudományi Centrum
- Fejér Megyei Szent György Kórház, Székesfehérvár
- Jósa András Kórház, Nyíregyháza
- Kaposvár Megyei Oktató Kórház, Kaposvár
- Markhot Ferenc Kórház, Eger
- Pándy Kálman Kórház, Gyula
- Pest Megyei Floór Ferenc Kórház, Kistarcsa
- Petz Aladár Megyei Kórház, Győr
- Pécsi Tudományegyetem Általános Orvostudományi Kar Sebészeti Tanszék, Baranya Megyei Kórház
- Semmelweis Egyetem Ér- és Szivsebészeti Klinika
- Semmelweis Egyetem I. sz. Sebészeti Klinika
- Szegedi Tudományegyetem Orvostudományi és Egészség Tudományi Centrum
- Szent Imre Kórház, Budapest
- Szent Lázár Kórház, Salgótarján
- Veszprémi Megyei Cholnoky Ferenc Kórház Non Profit Zrt.
- Zala Megyei Kórház

**Italy**
- Ospedale Regionale Miulli, Acquaviva Delle Fonti (BA)
- Ospedale di Alessandria, Alessandria
- Ospedale Regionale U. Parini, Aosta
- Ospedale San Salvatore - UO Chirurgia Vascolare, Aquila
- S.C. Chirurgia Vascolare c/o Ospedale San Donato, Arezzo
- Azienda Ospedaliera Moscati - UO Chirurgia Vascolare, Avellino
- Ospedale di Avezzano S. Filippo e Nicola, Avezzano (AQ)
- ASL BA - Presidio Osp. Bari Sud - Stabilim. Ospedale Di Venere, Bari
- Ospedale Policlinico Consorziale, Bari
- Ospedale Bassano del Grappa, Bassano Del Grappa (VI)
- Azienda Ospedaliera Rummo, Benevento
- Humanitas Gavazzeni, Bergamo
- OO.RR. di Bergamo, Bergamo
- Univ. Degli Studi di Bologna, Bologna
- Ospedale Maggiore C A Pizzardi, Bologna
- Istituto Clinico S.Anna, Brescia
- Fondazione & Poliambulanza, Brescia
- AZ USL 3, Busto Arsizio (VA)
- Azienda Ospedaliera, Cagliari
- Ospedale Sant Elia, Caltanissetta
- Azienda Ospedaliera Monaldi, Camaldoli, Napoli
- Azienda Ospedaliera A. Cardarelli, Campobasso
- Azienda Ospedaliera di Caserta, Caserta
- Multimedica Holding SpA - Struttura Ospedaliera, Castellanza (VA)
- Azienda Ospedaliera Ferrarotto, Catania
- Ospedale Cannizzaro, Catania
- UO di Chirurgia Vascolare Ospedale Civile San Bortolo, Vicenza
- Ospedale SS.Annunziata Chieti, Chieti
- Ospedale San Paolo, Civitavecchia
- UO Chirurgia Vascolare Azienda Ospedaliera Maggiore, Crema (CR)
- Ospedale Santa Croce, Cuneo
- Arcispedale St. Anna di Ferrara, Ferrara
- Nuovo Ospedale San Giovanni di Dio - Torregalli, Firenze
- Ospedale G. Salvini USSL 32, Garbagnate Milanese (MI)
- Università di Genova, Genova
- U.O. di Chirurgia Vascolare dell’Azienda Ospedaliera Villa Scassi di Genova, Genova
- Ospedale S. Martino, Genova
- E.O Ospedali Galliera, Genova
- Casa di Cura Villa Aurora; Casa di Cura Caminiti, Villa San Giovanni (RC)
- Ospedale Civile, Imperia
Contributors to the VASCUNET database continued …

Italy continued …

- Ospedale Sant’Andrea, La Spezia
- Ospedale S. Maria Goretti, Latina
- Clinica Città di Lecce Hospital, Lecce
- Azienda Ospedaliera di Lecco, Lecco
- Chirurgia Vascolare Legnano, Legano
- Unità Operativa Chir. Vascolare ASL Lodi - Presidio Lodi, Lodi (MI)
- Azienda Ospedaliera Carlo Poma, Mantova
- Univ. degli Studi di Messina, Messina
- SC Chirurgia Vascolare - Azienda ULSS 12 Veneziana - Osp. Umberto I, Mestre (VE)
- Azienda Ospedaliera di Milano, Milano
- Casa di Cura San Pio X, Milano
- Istituto Galeazzi - Chirurgia Vascolare, Milano
- Istituto Galeazzi - UO Chirurgia Vascolare II, Milano
- Istituto Policlinico San Donato Università di Milano - Div. di Chir. Vascolare, Milano
- Ospedale G. Pini, Milano
- Ospedale Niguarda, Milano
- UOS di Chirurgia Vascolare,Ospedale L. Sacco , Milano
- IRCCS H. San Raffaele, Milano
- Policlinico Univ. di Cagliari UO di Chirurgia Vascolare e Toracica, Monserrato (CA)
- Univ. Cattolica Sacro Cuore; Centro di ricerca e formazione ad alta tecnologia nelle scienze biomediche; Giovanni Paolo II, Campobasso
- Azienda Ospedaliera , Napoli
- Università degli studi di Napoli Federico II. Cattedra di Chirurgia Vascolare, Napoli
- Ospedale dei Pellegrini Vecchio, Napoli
- Azienda Sanitaria Ospedaliera Maggiore della Carità, Novara
- Istituti Clinici Zucchi-U.F. Chirurgia Vascolare II , Monza (MI)
- Clinicà S. Carlo, Paderno Dugnano
- Azienda Ospedaliera di Padova, Padova
- UF di Chirurgia Vascolare - Casa di cura Noto-Pasqualino, Palermo
- UOC di Chirurgia Vascolare, Azienda Ospedaliera-Universitaria Policlinico Paolo Giaccone, Palermo
- Ospedale Civico e Benfratelli, Palermo
- Segreteria di Chir. Vasc Cattedra di Chirurgia Vascolare, Parma
- Rep. C. Malchiodi Chirurgia Generale e Vascolare, Piacenza
- Ospedale Santa Corona, Pietra Ligure (SV)
- Azienda Ospedaliera Pisana - Presidio Ospedaliero Cisinello, Pisa
- Azienda Ospedaliera San Carlo - UO Dipartimentale di Chirurgia Vascolare - Dipartimento dell’Alta Specialità del Cuore, Potenza
- Ospedale S.M.Nuova, Reggio Emilia
- Cattedra e UO di Chirurgia Vascolare - Università degli Studi di Firenze, Firenze
- Ospedali Infermi, Rimini
- Cattedra di Chirurgia Vascolare - , Roma
- Dipartimento Interospedaliero di Chirurgia Vascolare, Endovascolare e Angiologia , Roma
- Istituto Dermopatico dell’Immacolata (IRCCS), Roma
- Ospedale Nuovo Regina Margherita, Roma
- Ospedale Sant’Andrea, Roma
- Policlinico Militare Roma Celio, Roma
- Policlinico Tor Vergata - Dip. di Chirurgia, Roma
- UOC di Chirurgia Vascolare B - Dipartimento di Chirurgia Generale, Specialità Chirurgiche e Trapianti d’Organo, Roma
- Univ. Cattolica Pol. Gemelli - Roma, Roma
- Ospedale S. Camillo, Roma
- Ospedale S. Eugenio, Roma
- Ist. Clinico Humanitas - Rep. di Chirurgia Vascolare I, Rozzano (MI)
- Ospedale Casa Sollievo della Sofferenza, S. Giovanni Rotondo (FG)
- Università degli Studi di Bologna, S. Lazzaro (BO)
- Ist. Policlinico San Donato, San Donato Milanese
- Casa di Cura Privata Polispecialistica , Schiera Del Garda (VR)
- UO Chirurgia Vascolare - Policlinico Multimedica, Sesto San Giovanni (MI)
- Università di Siena, Siena
- Azienda Ospedaliera Umberto I, Siracusa
Contributors to the VASCUNET database continued …

Italia continued …

• Azienda Ospedaliera della Valtellina e della Valchiavenna - Ospedale E. Morelli Sondalo, Sondalo (SO)
• Istituto Clinico Mater Domini - Chirurgia Arteriosa ed Endovascolare, Stellanza - Varese
• Ospedale Civile Regionale SS. Annunziata, Taranto
• Ospedale Civile, Teramo
• Ospedale Belcolle - UOC Chirurgia Vascolare ed Endovascolare, Viterbo
• UO Chirurgia Vascolare, Terni
• Ospedale S. Giovanni Bosco, Torino
• Azienda Ospedaliera S. Giovanni Battista di Torino Le Molinette, Torino
• Ospedale Mauriziano Umberto I, Torino
• SOD Complessa di Chirurgia Vascolare, Azienda Ospedaliero-Universitaria Ospedali Riuniti Ancona, Torrette (AN)

• Ospedale Civile Ca’ Foncello, Treviso
• Azienda Ospedaliera - Tricase - Pia Fondazione di Culto e Religione Card. G. Panico, Tricase (LE)
• SS Chir. Vascolare a direzione Universitaria, Ospedale di Cattinara, Trieste
• Ospedale di Circolo Univ. dell’Insubria, Varese
• Ospedale Sacro Cuore - Negra, Verona
• Policlinico Universitario GB. Rossi Verona, Verona
• UO Complessa Chirurgia Vascolare ed Endovascolare - Ospedale Belcolle-Polo Centrale, Viterbo (VT)
• Unità Azienda Sanitaria Locale 7 di Ragusa - Presidio Ospedaliero di Vittoria, Vittoria (RG)

New Zealand

• Auckland City Hospital, Auckland
• Middlemore Hospital, Auckland
• Wairau Hospital, Blenheim
• Christchurch Hospital, Christchurch
• Dunedin Hospital, Dunedin
• Gisborne Hospital, Gisborne
• Waikato Hospital, Hamilton
• Hawkes Bay Hospital, Hastings
• Southland Hospital, Invercargill

• Napier Hospital, Napier
• Nelson Hospital, Nelson
• Taranaki Base Hospital, New Plymouth
• Palmerston N. Hospital, Palmerston North
• Rotorua Hospital, Rotorua
• Tauranga Hospital, Tauranga
• Timaru Hospital, Timaru
• Wellington Hospital, Wellington

Norway

• Aker Universitetssykehus HF
• Sørlandet Sykehus HF, Arendal
• Sykehuset Buskerud HF
• Sykehuset Asker og Bærum HF, Bærum Sykehus
• Helse Nordmøre og Romsdal HF, Molde Sykehus
• Sykehuset Innlandet HF, Hamar
• Helse Bergen HF, Haukeland universitetssykehus
• St. Olav Hospital HF
• Universitetssykehuset Nord-Norge HF
• Rikshospitalet HF, Kirurgisk avd.

• Rikshospitalet HF, Thoraxkir.avd.
• Akershus Universitetssykehus HF
• Helse Stavanger HF, Sentralsykehuset i Rogaland
• Helse Førde HF, Førde sentralsjukehus
• Sykehuset i Vestfold HF
• Sykehuset Østfold - Fredrikstad HF
• Sykehuset Telemark Avd. Skien HF
• Ullevål Universitetssykehus HF
• Sørlandet Sykehus HF, Kristiansand
• Helse-Fonna, Haugesund Sjukehus HF
• Helse Sunnmøre HF, Ålesund sjukhus
• Nordlandssykehuset HF, Bodø
Contributors to the VASCUNET database continued …

**Switzerland**

- Kantonsspital Aarau, Gefässchirurgie
- Kantonsspital Uri, Altdorf
- Uniklinik Basel, Gefässchirurgie
- Inselspital Bern, Klinik für Herz- und Gefässchirurgie
- Kantonsspital Bruderholz
- Kantonsspital Graubünden, Chur
- Spital Thurgau AG, Spital Frauenfeld
- Hôpitaux Universitaires de Genève Service of Cardiovascular Surgery
- Spital Lachen, Chirurgische Klinik
- CHUV Service de Chirurgie thoracique et vasculaire, Lausanne

**Sweden**

- Akademiska Hospital, Uppsala
- Alingsås District Hospital
- Ängelholm District Hospital
- Blekinge County Hospital, Karlskrona
- Boden County Hospital
- Borås County Hospital
- Danderyd Hospital, Stockholm
- Eksjö District Hospital
- Eskilstuna County Hospital
- Falun County Hospital
- Gävle County Hospital
- Halmstad County Hospital
- Hässleholm District Hospital
- Helsingborg County Hospital
- Hudiksvall District Hospital
- Jönköping County Hospital
- Kalmar County Hospital
- Karlstad County Hospital
- Karolinska Hospital, Stockholm
- Kristianstad County Hospital
- Kungälv District Hospital
- Linköping University Hospital
- Malmö General University Hospital

- Kantonsspital Liestal
- Ospedale Regionale di Lugano
- Kantonsspital Luzern, Gefässchirurgie
- Spital Thurgau AG, Spital Münsterlingen
- Hôpitaux de la Ville de Neuchâtel
- Kantonsspital St. Gallen, Gefässchirurgie
- Kantonsspital Schaffhausen
- Spital Limmattal, Schlieren
- Kantonsspital Winterthur
- Zug Kantonsspital, Zug
- Universitätsspital Zürich, Abteilung Gefässchirurgie

- Mölndal District Hospital
- NU-sjukhuset County Hospital
- Nyköping District Hospital
- Örebro University Hospital
- Örnsköldsvik District Hospital
- Oskarshamn District Hospital
- Östersund County Hospital
- St. Göran Hospital, Stockholm
- Sahlgrenska Univ. Hospital, Gothenburg
- Skellefteå District Hospital
- Skövde County Hospital
- Södra Hospital, Stockholm
- Sunderbyn County Hospital
- Sundsvall County Hospital
- Trelleborg District Hospital
- Uddevalla County Hospital
- Umeå University Hospital
- Varberg District Hospital
- Värnamo District Hospital
- Västerås County Hospital
- Västervik District Hospital
- Växjö County Hospital
- Visby District Hospital
- Ystad District Hospital
Contributors to the VASCUNET database continued …

**United Kingdom**

- Kent and Canterbury Hospital, Ashford
- Royal United Hospital, Bath
- Bedford Hospital, Bedford
- Belfast City Hospital, Belfast
- Birmingham Heartlands Hospital
- City Hospital Birmingham, Birmingham
- Selly Oak Hospital, Birmingham
- Blackburn Royal Infirmary, Blackburn
- Royal Bournemouth Hospital, Bournemouth
- Princess of Wales Hospital, Bridgend
- Royal Sussex County Hospital, Brighton
- Bristol Royal Infirmary, Bristol
- Frenchay Hospital, Bristol
- Burnley General Hospital, Burnley
- Addenbrookes Hospital, Cambridge
- University Hospital of Wales, Cardiff
- St Helier Hospital, Carshalton
- Countess of Chester Hospital, Chester
- St Richard's Hospital, Chichester
- Colchester General Hospital, Colchester
- Craigavon Area Hospital, Craigavon
- Leighton Hospital, Crewe
- Derbyshire Royal Infirmary, Derby
- Pinderfields General Hospital, Dewsbury
- Doncaster Royal Infirmary, Doncaster
- Dorset County Hospital, Dorchester
-Russells Hall Hospital, Dudley
- Ninewells Hospital, Dundee
- Queen Margaret Hospital, Dunfermline
- University Hospital of North Durham
- Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh, Edinburgh
- Chase Farm Hospital, Enfield
- Frimley Park Hospital, Frimley
- Hairmyres Hospital, Glasgow
- Royal Infirmary Glasgow, Glasgow
- Gloucestershire Royal Hospital, Gloucester
- Diana Princess of Wales Hospital, Grimsby
- Calderdale Royal Hospital, Halifax
- Princess Alexandra Hospital, Harlow
- Hereford County Hospital, Hereford
- Huddersfield Royal Infirmary, Huddersfield
- Hull Royal Infirmary, Hull
- King George Hospital, Ilford
- Raigmore Hospital, Inverness
- Ipswich Hospital, Ipswich
- Leicester Royal Infirmary, Leicester
- Lincoln County Hospital, Lincoln
- Royal Liverpool University Hospital
- University Hospital Aintree, Liverpool
- Barnet General Hospital, London
- Charing Cross Hospital, London
- Hillingdon Hospital, London
- Kings College Hospital, London
- Mayday University Hospital, London
- Royal London Hospital, London
- St George's Hospital, London
- St Mary's Hospital, London
- St Thomas' Hospital, London
- Whips Cross University Hospital, London
- Manchester Royal Infirmary, Manchester
- James Cook University Hospital, Middlesbrough
- Freeman Hospital, Newcastle Upon Tyne
- Newcastle General Hospital, Newcastle Upon Tyne
- Royal Gwent Hospital, Newport
- Northampton General Hospital
- Norfolk & Norwich University Hospital, Norwich
- Queen's Medical Centre, Nottingham
- Royal Oldham Hospital, Oldham
- Peterborough District Hospital
- Derriford Hospital, Plymouth
- East Surrey Hospital, Redhill
- Queen's Hospital, Romford
- Salisbury District Hospital, Salisbury
- Scarborough Hospital, Scarborough
- Northern General Hospital, Sheffield
- Southampton General Hospital
- Southport & Formby District General Hospital, Southport
- Stafford General Hospital, Stafford
- Stirling Royal Infirmary, Stirling
- Sunderland Royal Hospital, Sunderland
- Morriston Hospital, Swansea
- Taunton & Somerset Hospital, Taunton
- Torbay Hospital, Torquay
Contributors to the VASCUNET database continued …

**United Kingdom continued …**

- Royal Cornwall Hospital, Truro
- Kent and Sussex Hospital, Tunbridge Wells
- Pinderfields General Hospital, Wakefield
- Watford General Hospital, Watford
- Sandwell District General Hospital, West Bromwich
- Southmead Hospital, Westbury-On-Trym
- West Cumberland Hospital, Whitehaven
- Royal Albert Edward Infirmary, Wigan

- Royal Hampshire County Hospital, Winchester
- Arrowe Park Hospital, Wirral
- Wishaw General Hospital, Wishaw
- New Cross Hospital, Wolverhampton
- Worcestershire Royal Hospital, Worcester
- Worthing Hospital, Worthing
- York Hospital, York
Proposed site for advert
Dendrite
The European Vascular Surgery Database

Import, merging and analysis methodology

Each country contributor that had agreed to participate in this project was sent a set of standard instructions on the required format for electronic data transfer (see Appendix for instructions on data submission) together with a list of the requested variables for each procedure. Data files from ten countries (which represented in excess of 100,000 individual patient records from a 386 individual vascular surgery centres), were submitted over the Internet to Dendrite Clinical Systems’ offices in the United Kingdom for data processing. The files were received over a four-month period from February 2008 to May 2008 and were imported sequentially onto Dendrite’s database platform.

The schematic diagram opposite illustrates the data flow and the complexity of the processes behind the importing and merging of a wide variety of original Source Registry data to produce this second VASCUNET database report. The process of data harvest and data import was represented by two main scenarios:

1. Where the national registry is already using Dendrite’s national database software for its central registry e.g. the Vascular Society of Great Britain & Ireland’s web-based National CEA Database, the data were simply copied across to the Dendrite database as a discrete database.

2. Where the national registry is using a third-party generic or proprietary system such as Microsoft Access™, e.g. the Italian National Vascular Surgery Registry, the data were imported into the Dendrite VASCUNET central database using Dendrite’s Import Manager Module software. In some cases language translation was also required as in the case of handling the Italian data.

Once all the data had been mapped across into the Dendrite / VASCUNET central data repository, as 10 parallel Import Registries, the data were corresponded across to one common merged database, the so-called target registry.

The correspondence process entailed mapping the response options of the questions in the temporary Import Registries to identical or similar options in the final target VASCUNET registry. Where there were incompatibilities in data definitions or only partial matches between source and target questions, only perfect / near perfect options were mapped. Hence not all the data analyses presented in this report involved data from all 10 of the countries that are represented.

Data merging, manipulation and analysis were carried out using a suite of integrated software systems including Dendrite’s proprietary database software, Microsoft Excel™ and Business Objects’ Crystal Reports™ using an ODBC link to the Dendrite Registry. Where possible, data from all entries and all countries were used for the basic aggregate data analysis and inter-country comparisons.
A schematic of the processes involved in the production of the VASCUNET merged database

Data submission
- Australia Excel™ file
- Denmark Excel™ file
- Finland Excel™ file
- Hungary Excel™ file
- Italy Excel™ file
- New Zealand Excel™ file
- Norway Excel™ file
- Sweden Excel™ file
- Switzerland Excel™ file
- United Kingdom Dendrite

Pre-processing & manipulation
- Australia
- Denmark
- Finland
- Hungary
- Italy
- New Zealand
- Norway
- Sweden
- Switzerland
- United Kingdom

Dendrite Import Manager Module
- Australia Dendrite registry
- Denmark Dendrite registry
- Finland Dendrite registry
- Hungary Dendrite registry
- Italy Dendrite registry
- New Zealand Dendrite registry
- Norway Dendrite registry
- Sweden Dendrite registry
- Switzerland Dendrite registry
- United Kingdom Dendrite registry

Merge
- VASCUNET merged database
  - Dendrite software

Data analysis
- Basic analysis
- Advanced analysis
- Risk modelling
Data submitted

Whereas there was a considerable agreement on the data fields between national registries, not all registries recorded every parameter. The tables on the next two pages show the data fields submitted by each country in a format that was suitable for import, for aortic aneurysm repair and carotid reconstruction, respectively.

AAA surgery

Table to show the data-items submitted in a format that could be imported by each contributor country

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Australia</th>
<th>Denmark</th>
<th>Finland</th>
<th>Hungary</th>
<th>Italy</th>
<th>New Zealand</th>
<th>Norway</th>
<th>Sweden</th>
<th>Switzerland</th>
<th>UK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Demographics</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pre-operative risk factors</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac disease</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory disease</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal disease</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operative data</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date-of-operation</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urgency</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic findings</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of operation</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Outcomes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Re-operation</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length-of-stay</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-day mortality</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-hospital mortality</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Carotid surgery

Table to show the data-items submitted in a format that could be imported by each contributor country

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographics</th>
<th>Australia</th>
<th>Denmark</th>
<th>Finland</th>
<th>Hungary</th>
<th>Italy</th>
<th>New Zealand</th>
<th>Norway</th>
<th>Sweden</th>
<th>Switzerland</th>
<th>UK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Disease status</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage stenosis</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contralateral occlusion</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pre-operative risk factors</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac disease</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory disease</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal disease</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operative data</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date-of-operation</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urgency</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of endarterectomy</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaesthesia</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shunt</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Re-operation</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cranial nerve injury</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length-of-stay</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30-day mortality</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-hospital mortality</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Data validity

There are two main aspects of validity of registry data:

1. **External validity** concerns generalizability. If virtually all patients with a given disease or procedure in a well-defined population are registered, they will represent the incidence or prevalence in a similar general population, since the data collection is almost complete. Thus registry managers should provide data from an independent source to estimate the degree to which cases in question are registered. A clinical vascular registry should preferably be validated by comparison with administrative hospital data, a national patient index or other data sources with information on hospital stays, procedure codes and diagnostic codes i, ii.

2. **Internal validity** is the degree to which the registry is correct concerning data on patients actually included. How many patients had a certain degree of heart disease although it was not recorded? What was the definition of hypertension? Were all emergency procedures really acute? According to what definition? Strict definitions of variables are compulsory. Internal validity can be assessed through a study of a sample of patient records where data are re-registered, to evaluate reproducibility. The re-entering of data can be done either by the local centre itself, or as part of an external validation ii, iii.

The tables on the following pages summarise the work the individual countries are doing for external and internal validity, as well as the definitions of data.

Both the external and internal validity of data is of utmost importance if comparison is to be made between institutions, or in this case, between countries. An important part of the work with the internal validity is to verify that the same definitions and cut-off values are used by the institutions or countries to be compared. That this work is still in the early stages in the VASCUNET collaboration is reflected in the differences seen in the table above, which reveal the need for more uniform variables, definitions and methods of validation. These issues have to be improved, to produce valid and more extensive National reporting of vascular data in the future.

---


Validity and definitions in the ten registries

The tables on the following pages summarize what the different registries do to safeguard their data validity and how well their definitions of risk factors and outcomes allow for comparison across country borders.

Most of the registries are national, or are regional with coverage of a large proportion of the country. Six out of ten examine their external validity by comparisons with national administrative hospital episode registries. Such a procedure is indispensable to safeguard against biased recruiting to the registry, and the fact that it is not done for all registries could be a source of significant bias when comparing data. If access is admitted to administrative registries such validation is technically simple and not expensive.

Eight registries check their internal validity through studies of a sample by re-registering data or by local checks in each hospital. This is usually a quite laborious and time-consuming task but will of course add to the value of conclusions, and serves as a tool for optimising the data definitions.

Medical risk factors are, to a large extent, obtained from the patient history (PH), taken from patient records. However, such a way of data collection can be considered weak and is likely to lead to variability within and between registries. Whilst some data definitions, such as that of renal insufficiency, are already similar across the registries, it is hoped that a consensus will gradually develop so that identical data are collected by all countries. This will improve the overall validity of the conclusions and allow more accurate international comparisons.

Whilst death is the ultimate hard endpoint, survival statistics may also vary between registries: several registries use 30-day mortality whereas others use in-hospital mortality. These are obtained from patient records and may not differ much in practice. However, deaths may be under-reported as a result of patients who are lost to follow-up. For this reason, data sources other than the hospital records may be preferable.

The presentation of carotid disease and the indications for carotid intervention are relatively hard data, as TIA, amaurosis fugax stroke and asymptomatic stenosis are easily distinguishable (although one registry combines TIA and amaurosis fugax). In contrast, the definitions of minor and major stroke are much more variable. Patients who become lost to follow-up may result in the under-reporting of postoperative neurological complications after carotid surgery. It is also well known that independent neurologists detect more post-operative neurological complications than surgeons. Whilst such routine independent neurological assessment is ideal, it is at present impractical except in clinical trials.

This table describes the current practice amongst registries with different degrees of development and experience. Because of this, validity and completeness are naturally imperfect. Similarly, data definitions may be imprecise and differ between registries. This should be taken into account when making international comparisons. Nevertheless, we believe that the data in this report is useful and represent vascular practice in the real world. Accuracy is likely to improve over time with more participant registries and with improvement of data definitions and validation methods, through the profitable cooperation in Vascunet.
### Validity and definitions: AAA surgery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>National or regional</th>
<th>Australia</th>
<th>Denmark</th>
<th>Finland</th>
<th>Hungary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scope</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>National</td>
<td>Regional, ~30% of the population</td>
<td>National</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVAR included</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External validation</td>
<td>Compared with the Victorian adverse outcome data</td>
<td>Comparison with the National In-patient registry or operation protocols locally.</td>
<td>Data is compared to the National inpatient registry and missing data completed</td>
<td>No systematic comparison with other data sources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internal validation of the registry</td>
<td>Internal validation of a 5 % sample from public hospitals</td>
<td>Internal and external re-entry of data for a sample of patient data; performed 1998 and 2006</td>
<td>Data is compared to the National inpatient registry and missing data completed</td>
<td>Internal re-entry of data on a sample of patient data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Definition of risk factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heart disease</th>
<th>Australia</th>
<th>Denmark</th>
<th>Finland</th>
<th>Hungary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PH; ECG / stress test</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>Previously documented MI ± ongoing angina or previous coronary revascularization</td>
<td>Not included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory disease</td>
<td>Not registered</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>PH; asthma / COPD</td>
<td>PH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal disease</td>
<td>Serum creatinine &gt;180 mmol l⁻¹</td>
<td>Serum creatinine &gt;150 mmol l⁻¹ or dialysis</td>
<td>PH; serum creatinine &gt;150 mmol l⁻¹ or dialysis</td>
<td>Not included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>PH or by WHO-limits for blood-pressure</td>
<td>PH or newly discovered and requiring medication at admission</td>
<td>PH; medication for hypertension or arterial pressure &gt;160/95 mm Hg</td>
<td>Not included</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>PH; Current or Ex-smoker (&gt; 2 weeks)</td>
<td>PH; Never, Ex-smoker (&gt; 6 weeks) or Current</td>
<td>PH; regular smoking within 5 years</td>
<td>Not included</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>30-day mortality</th>
<th>Australia</th>
<th>Denmark</th>
<th>Finland</th>
<th>Hungary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No systematic collection of data from other sources; systematic internal validation</td>
<td>Date-of-death obtained from the national population registry 100% reliable</td>
<td>Date-of-death obtained from the national population registry 100% reliable</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>In-hospital mortality</td>
<td>Collected</td>
<td>As above, 100 %, compared to date of discharge</td>
<td>As above, 100 %, compared to date of discharge</td>
<td>Date of death obtained from the patient’s record, 100% reliable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-term mortality</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>As above, data updated monthly for all patients</td>
<td>No data</td>
<td>No data</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PH: Patient history; data obtained from the patient history as a current condition / medication.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Italy</th>
<th>Norway</th>
<th>New Zealand</th>
<th>Sweden</th>
<th>Switzerland</th>
<th>UK</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>National (~ 50% completeness)</td>
<td>National</td>
<td>National</td>
<td>National</td>
<td>All University (5) and larger public hospitals (16)</td>
<td>National, ~50% of all open repairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Partial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>No systematic comparison with other data sources.</td>
<td>Comparison with the National In-patient registry in one study</td>
<td>Comparison with the National In-patient registry</td>
<td>No systematic comparison with other data sources</td>
<td>Comparison with the Hospital Episode Statistics in one study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A sample of data is checked for 10 randomly selected participating centres</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Internal validation of a 10 % sample in one study</td>
<td>Internal re-entry of data on a sample of patient data</td>
<td>Completeness of follow-up checked by each hospital</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH; ECG / stress test</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>PH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH; spirometry</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>PH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum creatinine &gt;150 mmol l$^{-1}$</td>
<td>PH; serum creatinine &gt;150 mmol l$^{-1}$</td>
<td>PH or serum creatinine &gt;150 mmol l$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Serum creatinine &gt;150 mmol l$^{-1}$</td>
<td>Creatinine &gt;150 mmol l$^{-1}$, dialysis, or transplant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>On medication or diastolic systemic blood pressure &gt;110 mmHg</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>PH* or diastolic systemic blood-pressure &gt;110 mmHg at admission</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>Not included</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH; smoking during last 5 years</td>
<td>PH; current or recent smoker</td>
<td>PH; regular smoking within 5 years</td>
<td>PH; current or previous</td>
<td>Not registered</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date-of-death obtained from the patient record</td>
<td>No systematic collection of data from other sources</td>
<td>Date-of-death obtained from the patient record</td>
<td>Date-of-death from the national population registry, 100% reliable</td>
<td>Date-of-death obtained from the patient record</td>
<td>Date-of-death obtained from the patient record</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date-of-death obtained from the patient record</td>
<td>Obtained from patient record</td>
<td>Collected</td>
<td>From discharge data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No data are included</td>
<td>Obtained from patient record</td>
<td>Not collected</td>
<td>As above, data updated monthly for all patients</td>
<td>From discharge data</td>
<td>Date-of-death obtained from the patient record</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Validity and definitions: carotid surgery

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Definition of risk factors</th>
<th>Australia</th>
<th>Denmark</th>
<th>Finland</th>
<th>Hungary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Symptomatic disease</td>
<td>Amaurosis, TIA, stroke</td>
<td>PH: Amaurosis fugax, TIA or stroke</td>
<td>PH: Amaurosis fugax, TIA (symptoms &lt; 24 hours) or stroke (symptoms &gt; 24 hours)</td>
<td>PH: Amaurosis fugax, TIA (symptoms &lt; 24 hours), minor or major stroke (symptoms &gt; 24 hours), PRIND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Australia</th>
<th>Denmark</th>
<th>Finland</th>
<th>Hungary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Post-operative TIA</td>
<td>Registered</td>
<td>Not registered</td>
<td>Registered as yes / no</td>
<td>Registered as yes / no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-operative minor stroke</td>
<td>Registered</td>
<td>Included in stroke</td>
<td>Registered as yes / no</td>
<td>Registered as yes / no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-operative major stroke</td>
<td>Registered</td>
<td>Included in stroke</td>
<td>Registered as yes / no</td>
<td>Registered as yes / no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-operative nerve injury</td>
<td>Registered with outcome</td>
<td>Registered as yes / no</td>
<td>Registered as yes / no</td>
<td>Registered as yes / no</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ITALY</td>
<td>NORWAY</td>
<td>NEW ZEALAND</td>
<td>SWEDEN</td>
<td>SWITZERLAND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PH: Asymptomatic or symptomatic</td>
<td>PH: Amaurosis fugax, TIA (symptoms &lt; 24 hours) or stroke (symptoms &gt; 24 hours)</td>
<td>PH: Amaurosis fugax, TIA (symptoms &lt; 24 hours) or stroke (symptoms &gt; 24 hours)</td>
<td>Amaurosis fugax, TIA, minor stroke</td>
<td>Stroke and TIA (including Amaurosis fugax)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Data validity |                  |              |                |             |                |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Late Ischemic vascular complication</th>
<th>Registered</th>
<th>Registered</th>
<th>Registered as TIA / amaurosis fugax within 30 days – yes / no</th>
<th>Registered together with Amaurosis</th>
<th>Not registered but often recorded under other complications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In cerebrovascular complication as yes / no</td>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>Registered</td>
<td>Stroke transient within 30 days</td>
<td>Included in stroke</td>
<td>Included in stroke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As above</td>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>Minor and major combined</td>
<td>Stroke–permanent at 30 days yes / no</td>
<td>Included in stroke</td>
<td>Included in stroke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nervous Lesion</td>
<td>Registered as yes / no</td>
<td>Registered</td>
<td>Registered as Local nerve injury yes / no</td>
<td>Registered as cranial nerve lesion</td>
<td>Registered as Cranial nerve injury yes / no</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conventions used in the report

There are a number of conventions used in the report in an attempt to ensure that the data are presented in a clear and consistent way. These conventions relate largely to the tables and graphs, and some of these conventions are outlined below.

Conventions used in tables

On the whole, unless otherwise stated, tables in this report record numbers of patient-entries (see the example below representing the data presented graphically in the chart on page 46 from the section on AAA surgery).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age at surgery / years</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Unspecified</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;51</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-55</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-60</td>
<td>1,838</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61-65</td>
<td>3,842</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4,217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66-70</td>
<td>5,915</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6,647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-75</td>
<td>7,204</td>
<td>1,116</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8,340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-80</td>
<td>6,691</td>
<td>1,186</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>7,895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81-85</td>
<td>3,512</td>
<td>831</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4,352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;85</td>
<td>813</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1,066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>31,083</td>
<td>4,881</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>36,041</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The numbers in each table are colour-coded so that patient-entries with complete data for all of the components under consideration (in this example both the operation type and the aortic findings) are shown in regular black text. If one or more of the database questions under analysis is blank, the data are reported as unspecified in purple text. The totals for both rows and columns are highlighted as bold text.

Some tables record percentage values; in such cases this is made clear by the use of an appropriate title within the table and a % symbol after the numeric value. Yet other tables report average numbers (the patient’s age at operation for example) and, again, this is made clear by the use of an appropriate title within the table.

Rows and columns within tables have been ordered so that they are either in ascending order (calendar years; post-operative stay; Low, Medium, High) or with negative response options first (No; No hypertension; Never smoked) followed by positive response options (Yes; Hypertension; Ex-smoker / Smoker).

Row and column titles are as detailed as possible within the confines of the space available on the page. Where a title in either a row or a column is not as detailed as the authors would have liked, then footnotes have been added to provide clarification.

There are some charts in the report that are not accompanied by data in a tabular format. In such cases the tables are omitted for one of a number of reasons:

- insufficient space on the page to accommodate both the table and graph
- there would be more rows / columns of data than could reasonably be accommodated on the page (post-operative stay data)
- the tabular data had already been presented elsewhere in the report
- analyses were prepared separately from the preparation of the report by other workers
Conventions used in graphs

The basic principles applied when preparing graphs for the VASCUNET report were based, as far as possible, upon William S. Cleveland's book *The elements of graphing data*. This book details both best practice and the theoretical bases that underlie these practices, demonstrating that there are sound, scientific reasons for plotting charts in particular ways.

**Counts:** The counts (shown as n= in each graph's title) associated with graphs are affected by a number of independent factors and will therefore vary from chapter to chapter and from page to page. Most obviously, many of the charts in the VASCUNET report are graphic representations of results for a particular group (or sub-set) extracted from the database, such as patients with intact aneurysms, patients who had an emergency operation, and so on. This clearly restricts the total number of database-entries available for any such analysis. In addition to this, some entries within the group under consideration have data missing in one or more of the database questions being examined (reported as unspecified in tables); entries with missing data are excluded from the analysis used to generate the graph because they do not add any useful information.

For example, in the graph on page 46 (reproduced below), only the patient-entries with both age and gender recorded are included in the analysis; this comes to 35,579 patient-entries (345 + 83 + 625 + 71 + 1,838 + 171 + 3,842 + 365 + 5,915 + 720 + 7,204 + 1,116 + 6,691 + 1,186 + 3,512 + 831 + 813 + 251 from examining the table; the 462 patient-entries with one or more unspecified data-items are excluded from the chart).

### AAA surgery: Age and gender distributions (n=35,579)

![Graph showing age and gender distributions of AAA surgery patients.]

**Confidence interval:** In the charts prepared for this report, most of the bars plotted around rates (percentage values) represent 95% confidence intervals. The width of the confidence interval gives some idea of the statistical certainty around the calculated rate of an event or occurrence. If the confidence intervals around two rates do not overlap, then we can say, with a specified level of confidence, that the rates in these two populations are different; if the bars do overlap, we cannot make such an assertion.
Data analysis
Analyses based on the merged AAA surgery data

Age at operation

The mean age of patients was 72.1 years. 13.5% of patients were women, who tended to be older (73.9 years cf. 71.8 years).

Most patients were in the 65-80 year age group, with women tending to present later than men. In most countries the average patient age was between 70 and 74 years, but the mean age of Hungarian patients was considerably less at 67.0 years. Australian & New Zealand patients were the oldest at 73.6 and 73.5 years respectively. Whether these national differences reflect variation in the disease itself or in patient selection is not known.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age at surgery / years</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Unspecified</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;51</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>428</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-55</td>
<td>625</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>698</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-60</td>
<td>1,838</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2,009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61-65</td>
<td>3,842</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4,217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66-70</td>
<td>5,915</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6,647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-75</td>
<td>7,204</td>
<td>1,116</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8,340</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-80</td>
<td>6,691</td>
<td>1,186</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>7,895</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81-85</td>
<td>3,512</td>
<td>831</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4,352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;85</td>
<td>813</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1,066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td>298</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>389</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>31,083</td>
<td>4,881</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>36,041</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AAA surgery: Age and gender distributions (n=35,579)
### AAA surgery: Average age and country (n=35,652)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Average age at surgery / years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>69.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>69.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>67.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>69.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>69.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>68.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>69.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>69.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>69.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>69.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Gender

Ruptured aneurysms had a slightly greater percentage of women than intact aneurysms (15.4% cf. 13.0%). The percentage of women amongst patients undergoing aortic aneurysm repair varied between countries from 8% in Italy to 21% in New Zealand.

AAA surgery: Gender and aortic findings (n=35,964)

AAA surgery: Gender and country (n=35,964)
Risk factors

Hypertension

73% of intact aneurysms and 65% ruptured aneurysms had a history of hypertension. National figures for hypertension ranged from 48% (Norway) to 83% (Italy). However, the data definitions of hypertension differed greatly between countries (see pages 38-39) and this could have contributed to the differences in incidence.
Cardiac disease

49% of intact and 47% ruptured aneurysms had known cardiac disease. The percentage of patients with cardiac disease in each registry ranged from 30-55%. Whilst Australia and Italy included not only a past history of cardiac disease but also the results of ECG and stress tests, this is unlikely to explain these national variations.

### AAA surgery: Cardiac disease and aortic findings (n=32,960)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aortic findings</th>
<th>Percentage of patients with cardiac disease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intact</td>
<td>48%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruptured</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>44%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### AAA surgery: Cardiac disease and country (n=32,960)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Percentage of patients with cardiac disease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Respiratory disease

Respiratory disease appeared to be more common in the elective than the ruptured aneurysms. There was a striking national variation in the incidence of respiratory disease from 10% in New Zealand to over 50% in Italy despite similar data definitions.
Renal disease

Chronic renal dysfunction was twice as frequent in patients presenting with rupture than in elective aortic aneurysms. National figures ranged from 4% in Italy to 12.8% in Sweden.
Smoking history

68% of patients with intact aneurysms were smokers in comparison with 61% of patients presenting with rupture. 85% of Italian patients smoked in comparison with 35% of New Zealanders. However, the data definition of smokers varied greatly from country to country so that these data may not be reliable (see page 38-39).
Aortic findings

Overall, 21% of patients with an aortic aneurysm presented with rupture. The percentage of ruptured aneurysms ranged from 12% in Hungary to 38% in Finland. The reasons for this variation are unclear.
Mortality

The overall mortality of repair was 9.5%. The mortality of surgery was 2.8% for intact aneurysms and 33% for ruptured aneurysms. The mortality of endovascular repair (EVAR) was approximately half that of open repair for both ruptured and intact aneurysms. This is similar to the results of the UK EVAR 1 trial (see ref. 1; page 93).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aortic findings and type of operation</th>
<th>Intact</th>
<th>Ruptured</th>
<th>Unspecified</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Open</td>
<td>17,624</td>
<td>2,121</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>18,471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVAR</td>
<td>7,419</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7,578</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td>1,525</td>
<td>287</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1,586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>26,568</td>
<td>2,481</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>27,635</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Unspecified                          | 1,525  | 45       | 16          | 1,586  |
| All                                  | 4,913  | 2,481    | 72          | 7,466  |

AAA surgery: Crude mortality, aortic findings and procedure type (n=34,737)

![Graph showing crude mortality rates for intact and ruptured aneurysms]
Mortality and country

The national mortality of ruptured aneurysm repair varied from 25 to 44%. Similarly, the mortality for open repair of intact aneurysm varied from 1.9 to 7.9% but was significantly greater (7.9%) in the UK than in the other nine registries (1.9-4.5%). The UK results are corroborated by three other national audits published within the last 7 years (refs. 2-4; page 93). The cause for the apparently higher mortality in the UK National Vascular Database is unclear and deserves further study. The national mortality figures for elective EVAR ranged from 0.8-2.7%.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aortic findings and country</th>
<th>Mortality</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Alive</td>
<td>Died</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intact</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>1,877</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>2,217</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>845</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>11,615</td>
<td>184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>2,056</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>628</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>3,374</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>937</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>2,735</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ruptured</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>677</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>1,472</td>
<td>499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>374</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>1,007</td>
<td>424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>519</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unspecified</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>775</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
AAA surgery: Crude mortality and country for intact aneurysms (n=27,343)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Crude mortality rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark*</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland*</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy*</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden*</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland*</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AAA surgery: Crude mortality and country for ruptured aneurysms (n=7,394)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Crude mortality rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark*</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland*</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy*</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden*</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland*</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Countries with an asterisk suffix report 30-day mortality, others report in-hospital mortality.
AAA surgery: Crude mortality and country for intact aneurysms (n=25,773)

Countries with an asterisk suffix report 30-day mortality, others report in-hospital mortality.
Ruptured aneurysm repair had the highest mortality in Hungary (57% open; 50% EVAR) and lowest in Italy (27% open, 12% EVAR).

AAA surgery: Crude mortality & country for ruptured aneurysms (n=6,878)

Countries with an asterisk suffix report 30-day mortality, others report in-hospital mortality.
Mortality and type of operation

For open repair bifurcated grafts and tube grafts had similar mortalities in both ruptured and intact aneurysms.
Mortality and age at surgery

Increasing age is an important adverse determinant of mortality in both ruptured and intact aneurysms.

### AAA surgery: Crude mortality and type of operation (n=34,367)

**Intact aneurysms**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age at surgery / years</th>
<th>Crude mortality rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;51</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-55</td>
<td>2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-60</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61-65</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66-70</td>
<td>5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-75</td>
<td>6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-80</td>
<td>7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81-85</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;85</td>
<td>9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ruptured aneurysms**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age at surgery / years</th>
<th>Crude mortality rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;51</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-55</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-60</td>
<td>30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61-65</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66-70</td>
<td>50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-75</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-80</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81-85</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;85</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Mortality and hypertension

Hypertension did not affect mortality of intact or ruptured aneurysm repair.

Mortality and respiratory disease

A history of respiratory disease adversely affected mortality of intact and ruptured aneurysm repair.
Mortality and cardiac disease
Cardiac disease, as expected, was found to adversely affect mortality of intact and ruptured aneurysm repair.

Mortality and renal disease
After increasing age, renal failure had the greatest effect on mortality of intact and ruptured aneurysm repair.
Mortality and a history of smoking

Smoking seemed, if anything, to reduce the mortality of aneurysm surgery. However, whilst the data definitions for smoking varied widely, the reasons for this apparent protective effect are unclear and could be spurious.
Post operative stay

Post-operative stay overview

The average post-operative length-of-stay was substantially less for endovascular than open repair (5.9 days cf. 10.8 days). The length-of-stay for ruptured aneurysms was the longest (12.1 days).
Post-operative stay and country

The post-operative length-of-stay was greatest in the United Kingdom (14.1 days) and least in Italy (8.0 days).
Post-operative stay and graft type

The use of a bifurcated graft, whether aorto-iliac or aortobifemoral, had little effect on the post-operative length-of-stay.

### AAA surgery: Post operative stay and graft type (n=27,899)

- Open tube
- Open aorto-iliac
- Open aorto-femoral
- EVAR

![Graph showing percentage of patients discharged vs. post-operative stay in days for different graft types.](image)
Analyses based on the merged carotid surgery data

Age at operation

32% of all patients undergoing carotid surgery were women. The mean age was similar for men (66.9 years) and women (66.9 years). As shown on the facing page, the average age varied between registries from 66.3 years in Hungary to 72.5 years in Australia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Unspecified</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>&lt;51</td>
<td>491</td>
<td>299</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-55</td>
<td>962</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1,459</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-60</td>
<td>2,409</td>
<td>997</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3,406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61-65</td>
<td>3,946</td>
<td>1,617</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5,563</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66-70</td>
<td>6,003</td>
<td>2,509</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8,512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-75</td>
<td>7,211</td>
<td>3,340</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10,552</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>76-80</td>
<td>6,166</td>
<td>3,183</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9,349</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81-85</td>
<td>2,857</td>
<td>1,669</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4,526</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;85</td>
<td>568</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unspecified</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>382</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>30,886</td>
<td>14,569</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>45,427</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Carotid surgery: Age and gender distributions (n=47,634)
## Carotid surgery: Average age and country (n=47,635)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Average age at surgery / years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: The diagram shows the average age at surgery for each country.*
Gender

The proportion of women undergoing carotid surgery ranged from 27.6% in Switzerland to 37.4% in Hungary.
Presentation

Most patients undergoing carotid reconstruction were symptomatic and only 26% were asymptomatic in the combined data. The percentage of asymptomatic patients varied from 0% in Denmark to 40% in Switzerland and Hungary. The apparent absence of amaurosis fugax in Switzerland is explained by the fact that it was included within the TIA group in the Swiss registry. Italy was not included in this graph as its registry only recorded patients as symptomatic or asymptomatic. Around 66% of the Italian patients were asymptomatic.
Contralateral occlusion

The three countries recorded contralateral occlusion. The average percentage was 9%.
Operation

Type of operation

Overall 10% of patients underwent carotid stenting and 90% carotid endarterectomy. Stents were not recorded in the United Kingdom registry, but in the remaining nine countries the percentage of stents varied from 1% in Finland to 12% in Australia and Italy.

Carotid surgery: Type of operation and country (n=46,670)
Type of carotid endarterectomy

The method of carotid endarterectomy was not included in the Danish, Italian or Swiss data files in a format suitable for import. Of the patients in other registries 34% underwent eversion endarterectomy, 40% standard endarterectomy with a patch and 26% without a patch. The method used differed greatly between countries: in Hungary 90% underwent eversion endarterectomy, whereas Finland, New Zealand and Norway did not use the eversion technique at all. Patches were rarely used in Norway, but were always used in Finland.
Anaesthesia for carotid endarterectomy

In the four countries recording the type of anaesthesia, general anaesthesia was used in 68% of patients. Local anaesthesia was preferred in Denmark whereas general anaesthesia was preferred in Australia and Italy.
Mortality

Mortality and procedure

The overall mortality of carotid endarterectomy was 0.45% compared with 0.38% for carotid stents, but this difference was not statistically significant (p=0.60).

Carotid surgery: Mortality and procedure (n=46,438)
The method of carotid endarterectomy had no effect on mortality.
Mortality was almost identical for general and local anaesthesia.
Mortality and country

National mortality varied from 0.2% in Italy to approximately 1% in UK, Sweden and Denmark. In Sweden there appeared to be an excess mortality for carotid stents at 3.1%, but confidence limits were wide and mortality for stents and carotid endarterectomy were equivalent in all other countries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Crude mortality rate</th>
<th>CEA</th>
<th>Stent</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>0.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>1.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1,570</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4,484</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>4.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>28,780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>920</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>6.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>568</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4,623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2,956</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Countries with an asterisk suffix report 30-day mortality, others report in-hospital mortality
Stroke

Stroke and procedure

Several countries recorded stroke as major or minor, disabling or non-disabling. The data definitions of the subdivisions of stroke varied considerably, so for the purpose of this report peri-operative strokes were considered as a single category.

There were significantly more strokes after carotid stenting than endarterectomy (2.1% versus 1.3%; Chi-squared 6.3, p<0.0001). This is of particular interest in view of the current controversy regarding the safety of carotid stenting.
We were unable to demonstrate a significant difference in stroke rate between the three methods of carotid endarterectomy.
Stroke rates were almost identical between patients undergoing carotid endarterectomy under general and local anaesthesia.

![Bar chart showing stroke rates for carotid surgery under local and general anaesthesia](chart.png)
Stroke and country

Overall stroke rates for carotid reconstruction (including both endarterectomy and stents) were least in Italy (1%) but 5/10 countries had stroke rates of 2-3%. The stroke rate of carotid endarterectomy ranged from 0.9% in Italy to 1.8% in Denmark, whereas that of carotid stenting ranged from 1.8-9.4% with the highest recorded in New Zealand.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>CEA</th>
<th>Stent</th>
<th>All</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>2,580</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>1,508</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finland</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hungary</td>
<td>4,357</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>28,411</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Norway</td>
<td>896</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>553</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>4,530</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>862</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>2,903</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Cranial nerve injury

Cranial nerve injury and procedure

Cranial nerve injury was recorded in 1.6% of carotid endarterectomies. This is a surprisingly low percentage as most previously published studies have reported an incidence of cranial nerve lesions of about 6% (see ref. 16, page 93). It is likely that they have been under-reported in many cases either because they have gone unrecognised or because, as with most cranial nerve lesions, they were temporary.

Carotid surgery: Cranial nerve injury and procedure (n=42,095)
Of those patients in which the type of endarterectomy was recorded cranial nerve injuries were recorded in 3% of eversion procedures and 3% standard with a patch. For reasons that are not clear, a greater number of cranial nerve injuries (5%) were found after those treated by a standard endarterectomy without a patch.
The incidence of post-operative cranial nerve lesions was unaffected by the type of anaesthesia.

Carotid surgery: Cranial nerve injury and anaesthesia in CEA (n=19,310)
Cranial nerve injury and country

The highest rate of cranial nerve injury was reported in Sweden at 7%. However, this is more in keeping with published reports (see ref. 16, page 93) and since most patients in the Swedish registry were examined post-operatively by a neurologist this could represent a true reflection of the incidence of cranial nerve lesions in other countries.
Post-operative stay

Post-operative stay and procedure

The post-operative length-of-stay was significantly less for carotid stents than endarterectomy (average of 2.9 days versus 3.7 days).

Carotid surgery analyses

Carotid surgery: Post operative stay overview (n=44,126)
Post-operative stay and country

Post-operative length-of-stay was considerably greater in Hungary and Switzerland than the other registries.
Summary and conclusions

In this second VASCUNET database report data from 55,250 aortic aneurysm repairs and 48,025 carotid reconstructions were submitted from 8 national (Denmark, Hungary, Italy, Sweden, Switzerland, Norway, United Kingdom) and 2 large regional (Australia and Finland) databases. Data analysis was restricted to patients receiving treatment within the last 5 years (2003-2007 inclusive) so that 36,041 and 48,025 patients, respectively, were analysed in the aortic and carotid groups. There was a good correlation between the data fields collected by each registry although data definitions varied slightly from country to country.

For the first time national outcome data were compared. Whereas some countries recorded 30-day mortality (Denmark, Finland, Italy, Sweden, Switzerland) others recorded in hospital mortality (Australia, Hungary, New Zealand, Norway, United Kingdom). However any difference between these parameters is likely to be small.

Some form of data validation was performed by eight registries, but not by Norway and the United Kingdom.

Aortic aneurysm repair

Of the 36,041 records analysed 35% were submitted by Italy. 13.5% of patients were women, although the percentage of women was greater in ruptured than elective aneurysms. As expected, the average age of the men was less than that of women with aortic aneurysms (71.8 years versus 73.9 years). The percentage of women undergoing aortic aneurysm repair varied to a surprising degree between countries from 8% in Italy to 21% in New Zealand. This surprising difference merits further investigation. The mean age of patients undergoing AAA surgery varied from country to country with Hungary having the youngest (67.4 years) and Australia the oldest patients (73.7 years).

Patients with ruptured aneurysms had apparently lower incidences of hypertension, respiratory and cardiac disease, but a higher incidence of significant renal disease than those undergoing elective repair. Renal disease seemed to be more prevalent in some of the northern European countries (Sweden, United Kingdom and Denmark), although this observation may partly be explained by differences in definition. International collaboration may result in more uniform definitions in the future, facilitating analyses. There were slightly fewer smokers amongst the patients with ruptured than intact aneurysms. Italy had the highest proportion of smokers as well as cardiac disease and pulmonary disease.

Most registries included both open and endovascular repairs but EVAR was not recorded in Finland and only partially captured in the United Kingdom. The overall mortality for elective surgery was 3% and was significantly less for EVAR than open repair (1% versus 4%). This confirms the results of the EVAR 1 trial 1. The mortality of ruptured aneurysm was 34% and was significantly less for EVAR than open repair (15% versus 33%).

The mortality of both intact and ruptured aneurysm repair was significantly increased by advancing age, cardiac, respiratory and renal disease but not apparently by smoking or the use of a bifurcated graft. The data on smokers may not be reliable as definitions varied somewhat between countries, resulting in considerably heterogeneous groups.

The mortality of intact open aneurysm repair was significantly greater in the United Kingdom than other countries at 7.9%. The other 9 countries had mortality rates ranging from 1.9% in Italy to 4.5% in Denmark (average for all 10 registries 3.5%). The cause for this apparently high mortality in the United Kingdom National Vascular Database is unclear, particularly since the mortality of EVAR and ruptured aneurysms in the UK was similar to that of the other registries. In the United Kingdom there was an excess of renal disease, but otherwise risk factors appeared similar to those of other countries and it seems unlikely that renal disease could be solely responsible for the excess elective mortality. Whilst there are undoubted limitations to merging data from different registries, the observed mortality of unruptured aortic aneurysm repair in the United Kingdom is corroborated by other studies 2,3,4 and it is also of note that the mortality in the United Kingdom Small Aneurysm Trial 5 was substantially greater than that of a similar trial in the USA 6. This discrepancy deserves further study.

The mean post-operative length of stay was greater for intact than ruptured aneurysms and strikingly reduced for EVAR in comparison with open repair.

The United Kingdom had significantly longer hospital stays than other countries for both intact and ruptured aneurysms. For elective aneurysm repair the average length of stay was 13 days for the United Kingdom in comparison with 7.5 days for Italy.
Carotid reconstruction

Of the 48,025 carotid reconstructions analysed 60% were submitted from Italy. This would suggest that the incidence of carotid reconstruction is higher in Italy than in the other countries.

The mean age for carotid reconstruction was 70.8 years and was slightly greater for men (70.6 years) than women (71.2 years). The mean age for reconstruction ranged from 66.3 years in Hungary to 72.5 years in Australia. 32% were women with the greatest proportion in Hungary (37%) and the least in Switzerland (28%).

The indication for carotid reconstruction was not recorded in Italy. Of the other nine registries, 26% of patients were asymptomatic, 32 % had a history of TIA, 15% amaurosis fugax and 26% prior stroke. Asymptomatic patients were most common in Hungary and Switzerland (40%) rare in Denmark and Finland (0% and 10% respectively).

Amongst the three countries that recorded the state of the contralateral carotid artery 9% were occluded.

Carotid stents were not recorded in the United Kingdom national database but comprised 10% of all cases with 12% stents in Italy and 11% in Australia.

The method of carotid endarterectomy was recorded by 7 countries (15,735 patients): eversion endarterectomy was used in 34%, standard endarterectomy with patch 39% and without patch 26%. The surgical method was country dependent: eversion endarterectomy was used in over 90% in Hungary but not used at all in Finland and Norway. Patches were preferred in Australia, New Zealand, United Kingdom and Finland but not in Sweden and Norway. Four countries recorded the type of anaesthesia: general anaesthesia was used in about 73% of cases in Australia and Italy, 50% in United Kingdom and 36% in Denmark. Such national differences in technique may also reflect changes in opinion with time and it will be of interest to find out whether they persist in future audits.

The mortality of carotid endarterectomy was 0.45% and was unaffected by the endarterectomy method or type of anaesthesia. The mortality of carotid stenting was not significantly different from carotid endarterectomy (0.39%). Mortality ranged from about 1% in the United Kingdom, Sweden and Denmark to less than 0.5% in Finland, Hungary, Italy and Norway.

The peri-operative stroke rate was 1.4% for carotid endarterectomy in comparison with 2.1% for carotid stenting. This difference was statistically significant (p<0.0001). This result is of particular interest in view of the controversy regarding the morbidity of carotid stenting and the differing results of the various randomised trials comparing carotid endarterectomy and stenting. The incidence of perioperative stroke following carotid endarterectomy was unaffected by the method used or the type of anaesthesia.

National stroke rates for carotid endarterectomy ranged from 0.9% for Italy to 2.8% for Denmark.

Cranial nerve injury was recorded in 1.6% of carotid endarterectomy. This was unaffected by the type of anaesthesia but appeared to be greater for the standard approach without a patch than eversion or patched standard endarterectomy. There is no apparent reason for this, which may be due to confounding factors. Cranial nerve injury seemed to be much greater in Sweden (7%) than other countries (range 0.6-2.8%). However, this figure is perhaps more in line with published reports and the apparent discrepancy could be due to differences of definition, particularly as the majority of cranial nerve injuries are temporary, or thoroughness of follow-up. In Sweden all peripheral nerve injuries are registered, including the common cutaneous nerve injuries. Furthermore, in most Swedish centres patients are examined at 30 days by neurologists who are likely to identify more cranial nerve injuries than surgeons.

The mean post-operative length of stay was greater for carotid endarterectomy (3.7 days) than stenting (2.9 days) and was substantially longer in Hungary and Switzerland than in other countries.
Conclusions
The second VASCUNET database report has combined the data from the registries of ten countries to form the largest vascular registry to date.

It has confirmed the results of randomised trials showing the reduction in mortality of aortic aneurysm repair by using endovascular methods and confirmed that advancing age, cardiovascular, renal and respiratory disease are all important risk factors for surgical mortality. The mortality of elective open aortic aneurysm repair was found to be greater in the United Kingdom than all other countries but the reasons for this observation remain unclear.

For carotid surgery there was no difference in mortality between endarterectomy and stenting, but stenting seemed to be associated with a slightly higher stroke rate. Neither the endarterectomy method nor the type of anaesthesia appeared to influence morbidity or mortality to a significant degree. In view of the latter, the results of the GALA trial will be of great interest when they become known.

Many of these results are thought-provoking, and merit further focused research efforts. Whilst the VASCUNET database is still in the development phase, it has shown the usefulness of international audit and it is hoped that this will expand both geographically and in the scope of the audit in years to come.
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The following pages represent the full AAA surgery and carotid surgery datasets sent out to the contributors when the request for data was first made in January 2008.

This incarnation of the VASCUNET project represents a significant step forward, since the scope of the project was widened to include carotid surgery data as well as the AAA surgery data that formed the basis for the first report released in July 2007.

Contributors will be sent copies of the datasets, with any revisions, as part of the next request for data, together with a full set of data definitions and a data-file specification to further smooth to the processes of importing and merging the data so that more time may be spent on the analysis phase of the next report.

Changes to the AAA surgery dataset

After reviewing the completeness of data submitted for the first VASCUNET report, it seemed logical to adjust the AAA surgery dataset. The principal aim was to reduce the complexity of the dataset even further in an attempt to further encourage participation and to accommodate some of the differences in the scope and breadth of data held in the national databases sending their data for inclusion in the merged database. Questions were either removed completely from the dataset or adjusted to remove some complexity:

- The diabetes risk factor was removed; this was probably a mistake as this is an important risk factor that many national registries include in their own datasets, and will probably be reinstated for the next version of the dataset
- The question on beta blockers was removed; very few countries held these data in their national registries
- Likewise, the question on statins was removed as very few contributors were able to submit these data
- Maximum aneurysm diameter was also removed from the dataset, again because data on this parameter were rare in the submitted data files
- The type of operation question was simplified in that the two options relating to EVAR procedures previously requested (EVAR bifurcated modular and EVAR aorto uni-iliac) were merged into a single option labelled EVAR
- The question detailing conversions from the EVAR technique to the open approach was removed
- Most of the questions in the outcome section were removed (myocardial infarction, stroke, graft infection, graft occlusion, other re-operation, major amputation) leaving the patient’s post-operative status as the sole outcome in the database

The new carotid surgery dataset

The new carotid surgery dataset will undoubtedly undergo the same processes of review and modification following careful consideration of the analyses presented in this report. For example, very few countries were able to supply data on whether or not the patient had had a contra-lateral occlusion; this question might be a candidate for excision from the next version of the carotid surgery dataset.

It is clear, however, that it is important to have at least one measure of outcome other than mortality, and the next evolution of the dataset might focus around making these outcome measures more robust and compatible with the datasets currently collected in the contributor countries.
**European Society for Vascular Surgery**

**AAA database form**

**Version 2.0**

**Demographics and other identifiers**

- **Hospital number**
- **Date of birth**
- **Gender**
  - Male
  - Female
  - Unknown
- **Country**
- **Hospital**

**Pre-operative risk factors**

- **Cardiac disease**
  - No
  - Yes
- **Respiratory disease**
  - No
  - Yes
- **Renal failure**
  - No
  - Yes
- **Diabetes**
  - No
  - Yes
- **Hypertension**
  - No
  - Yes
- **Smoking**
  - No
  - Yes

**Operative data**

- **Date of operation**
- **Operative urgency**
  - Elective
  - Emergency
- **Aortic findings**
  - Ruptured
  - Intact
- **Type of operation**
  - Open tube
  - Open aorto-iliac
  - Open aorto-femoral
  - EVAR

**Outcomes at 30 days**

- **Reoperation**
  - No
  - Yes
- **Date of discharge**
- **In-hospital mortality**
  - Alive
  - Dead
- **Patient status at 30 days**
  - Alive
  - Dead
## Carotid surgery dataset

### European Society for Vascular Surgery

**Carotid surgery database form**  
*Page 1; Version 2.0*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hospital number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date of birth</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hospital</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Demographics and other identifiers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom</th>
<th>Asymptomatic</th>
<th>Amaurosis</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TIA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Stenosis**

| %                         |

**Contralateral occlusion**

| No | Yes |

**Disease status**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cardiac disease</th>
<th>No</th>
<th>Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory disease</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal failure</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Pre-operative risk factors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of operation</th>
<th>dd / mm / yyyy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Previous ipsilateral carotid intervention</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous contralateral carotid intervention</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operative urgency</td>
<td>Elective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Procedure</td>
<td>Carotid endarterectomy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Operative data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of carotid endarterectomy</th>
<th>Eversion</th>
<th>Standard plus patch</th>
<th>Standard without patch</th>
<th>Bypass</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anaesthesia</td>
<td>General</td>
<td>Local (including regional)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shunt</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Additional data for carotid endarterectomies**
**European Society for Vascular Surgery**

**Carotid surgery database form**

*Page 2; Version 1.0*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hospital number</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Date of operation dd / mm / yyyy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Outcomes

- **Reoperation**
  - No
  - Yes

- **Date of discharge** dd / mm / yyyy

- **Stroke**
  - None
  - TIA
  - Major stroke
  - Minor stroke

- **Cranial nerve injury**
  - No
  - Yes

- **In-hospital mortality**
  - Alive
  - Dead

- **Patient status at 30 days**
  - Alive
  - Dead
Submission of data
Submission of data to the ESVS database

Contacts for further information

For any queries please contact:

Technical queries
Robin Kinsman
Dendrite Clinical Systems Ltd.
59A Bell Street, Henley-on-Thames
Oxfordshire RG9 2BA
United Kingdom
Phone: +44 (0) 1491 411 288
Fax: +44 (0) 1491 411 399
E-mail: robin.kinsman@e-dendrite.com

Submission of data
Peter Walton
Dendrite Clinical Systems Ltd.
59A Bell Street, Henley-on-Thames
Oxfordshire RG9 2BA
United Kingdom
Phone: +44 (0) 1491 411 288
Fax: +44 (0) 1491 411 399
E-mail: peter.walton@e-dendrite.com

Scope of this appendix

This appendix outlines the basic requirements for data submission from hospitals/national registries for successful import into the VASCUNET European Vascular Surgery Database. It covers:

1. Minimum requirements for file-formats
2. Minimum requirements for each row of data
3. Minimum requirements for supporting documentation

File formats

Individual anonymised patient records are required, not aggregate data analyses.

In many cases the data must be viewed and manipulated in third-party software prior to import. This allows for detailed examination of the data so that the final import database is the best fit to the database structure of the central registry. It also allows some pre-import manipulation of the data to create the cleanest final import possible. The data also have to be transmuted into a file-format that is suitable for import a tab-delimited text file.

The most common acceptable source data formats; include:

- Microsoft Access™
- Microsoft Excel™
- Tab-delimited text files

However, any file that can be demonstrated to be compatible with standard Microsoft packages would also be acceptable. Comma-delimited files are not generally acceptable as the comma is used to sub-delimit fields where more than one response option may be selected. Comma-delimited files may be accepted as long as there are no multiple-response fields with comma delimiters or sub-delimiters other than commas.

Where there is more than one table of source data to be imported, it is essential that the tables of data required in the final import product are identified and that the inter-relationships between these tables are recorded explicitly, including the indices that are used to link the tables. This requirement applies most frequently to Access™ databases, but also applies to other file formats where data from multiple files are to be migrated into the VASCUNET central registry database.
Minimum requirements for each row of data

The minimum requirements for data submitted to the VASCUNET database are:

- The first row in each data file or table must contain headers
- Each row of data in each file / table must include an unique patient identifier
- Each row of data in each file must include a key-date as an index (admission, operation, etc. For the VASCUNET database the key-date is the date-of-operation)
- Numbers containing decimals should be presented with a decimal point (.) signifying the decimal position and without comma thousand separators e.g., 10000.245
- Dates should be presented in long date format, dd/mm/yyyy
- Where a data item may contain multiple responses each of those responses must be separated by a comma only
- It is important that soft carriage-returns are removed from the data before delivery to the VASCUNET database. These control characters cause configuration problems when the data are transferred into the file format that acts as the substrate for the import process as the carriage return is reserved as a row (record) delimiter

Requirements for supporting documentation

A full data dictionary is required, particularly where abbreviations or encoding systems have been used. This dictionary should include supporting information on the relationship between the individual data-items and patient’s progress i.e., are the data pre-operative, post-operative etc.

Where data are maintained in a language other than UK English, a full translation of all the headers and data items must also be provided. It is important to have full explanations for all headers:

- The meaning of the header
- The type of data

Where data-items are coded (0, 1; Y, N; etc.) a comprehensive set of data definitions should be supplied with, and at the same time as, the data to be imported.

---

i. If the data file lacks unique identifiers such as a Hospital number or a Department number, then there must be sufficient patient-specific data to generate an unique identifier. An indication of the nature of the unique identifier is very important i.e., is the identifier an hospital number, a national number or a database row ID. The minimum data fields would be the patient’s surname, forename, date-of-birth and gender. In such cases, if an examination of the data demonstrates that there are a number of duplications then this methodology and the whole data submission will be rejected. The unique patient identifier may be absent in individual data-files from a multiple file suite as long as there is a database key that allows the patient-records to be linked back to an unique identifier in one of the data-files.

ii. Any row of data that lacks a key date will not appear in the final import. Null values in this field will be treated as missing and, as such, removed at the time of import.

iii. Type of data should include the clinical sense of the data (pre-operative, operative, post-operative, etc.), the scope of the data (mutually exclusive data, multiple choice data, date data, free text etc.).

iv. Any data point that contains data options not included in the accompanying data definition documentation will be treated as an error and, as such, removed at the time of import.
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